

# DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF COLORADO

## A F F I D A V I T

By: **Steve Douglas, Gartin** - Sui Juris

**Date:** Twenty Ninth Day of the Tenth Month in the Year of our Messiah, **YahShewa** Two Thousand and One, A.D.

Regarding: Case #00CR3371: **Violation of Ethical Rule 8.4 (b) – Anstine: Subornation to Perjury**

---


**4-12-101. Form of oath.** *Whenever any person is required to take an oath before he enters upon the discharge of any office, position, or business or on any other lawful occasion, it is lawful for any person employed to administer the oath to administer it in the following form: The person swearing, with his hand uplifted, shall swear "by the Everliving God".*


**Steve Douglas, Gartin**, child of יהוה (YHVH-The Everliving God), a Public Minister and sovereign Inhabitant of the California Republic, *currently domiciled in Colorado*; First Secured Party of the “strawman defendant” in the above captioned matter and “attorney-in-fact” firmly established pursuant to U.C.C. Private Security Agreement #SDG09112000-SA, registered, *and uncontested*, with the Secretary of STATE U.C.C. Division; **appearing by special visit, not general appearance**, in propria persona (pro-se); who is of legal age, sound mind, speaks the truth and has first hand knowledge of the facts contained herein; affirms and attests that the following information is true, correct, complete, not misleading and is made under the penalty of perjury, knowingly, willingly and without threat or coercion; hereby states for the record:

**Roscoe G. Anstine II, Esquire #14384** is a member of the Colorado Bar Association, and is subject to the Ethical Rules governing his professional legal practice.


**Rule 8.4 Misconduct** - It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to : (b) **commit a criminal act** that reflects adversely on the lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;


**Roscoe Glen Anstine II, Esquire** knew that an affidavit by his client, Arabella T. Bonilla, notarized by himself and containing perjured information could not form the basis of a civil action or criminal prosecution.

 Colo. 1875 Affidavits sworn to before the attorney of the party making them should not be received. *Martin v. Skehan*, 2 Colo. 614

 Colo 1867. An attorney may not administer to his client an oath to an affidavit to be filed in the suite in which he represents the client. *Anderson v. Sloan*, 1 Colo. 33.

**Roscoe G. Anstine II, Esquire** filed three civil actions, in three separate counties based solely upon a single affidavit by his client, Arabella T. Bonilla, containing perjured information; sworn and notarized by Mr. Anstine II, Esquire himself. See Cases #00CV6032, #00-C-062 & #00CV1927.

 Colo. 1942. A purported affidavit is nugatory unless oath is administered by authorized official.'35 C.S.A. c. 115 section 3 *Zimmerman v Industrial Com'n* 120 P.2d 636, 108 Colo. 552

 Colo. 1920 Chief test of sufficiency of affidavit is whether it is so clear and certain that indictment for perjury may be sustained on it if false. **Jotter v. Marvin**, 189 P.19, 67 Colo. 548.

Mr. Anstine II, Esquire then directed Arabella T. Bonilla, his client, to submit that same nugatory and void affidavit to a public official, to-wit: **Donald L. Estep F.B.I. Special Agent/Jefferson County Sheriff’s Deputy, or some yet unknown public official**, knowing that it would be filed and registered in a public office and become part of the public record in Jefferson County Case #00CR2419 and also be utilized as evidence before the Statewide Grand Jury in what has become Case #00CR3371, knowing that document contained materially false information and that the affidavit itself was fatally flawed, as established pursuant to authorities

quoted above, could NOT be introduced as evidence in any case, and would not support any civil action or criminal prosecution based upon it.

Some controversy may exist concerning the relation of a False Affidavit to C.R.S. § 18-5-114. **Offering a false instrument for recording.** (1) A person commits offering a false instrument for recording in the first degree if, knowing that a written instrument relating to or affecting real or personal property, to-wit: **personal freedom and liberty interests**, or directly affecting contractual relationships contains a material false statement, to-wit: **that the Bonilla Family did not owe Steve D. Gartin any money**, or material false information, to-wit: **that there was no contract between the Bonilla Family and Steve D. Gartin**, and with intent to defraud, to-wit: **by subornation to perjury**, he presents or offers it to a public office or a public employee, with the knowledge or belief that it will be registered, filed, or recorded or become a part of the records of that public office or public employee, to-wit: **Jefferson County Cases #00CR2419 & 00CR3371**. (2) *Offering a false instrument for recording in the first degree is a class 5 felony.*

Although an Affidavit may arguably not be an “instrument,” it is certain, however, that Mr. Anstine II, Esquire and others conspired to commit the following felonies, to-wit:

**18-8-111 False Reporting to authorities:** Roscoe G. Anstine II, Esquire did, knowingly and intentionally, (b) make a report to law enforcement of a purported incident, to wit: OFFERING FALSE INSTRUMENTS charging Steve D. Gartin of an offense which Roscoe G. Anstine II, Esquire KNEW did not occur and that the report was patently false. (c) *False reporting to authorities is a Class 3 misdemeanor.*

**18-8-704: Intimidating a witness or victim:** Roscoe G. Anstine II, Esquire did unlawfully, with the intent to harass, injure and harm a victim and witness to Federal Crimes, to-wit: Steve D. Gartin, *who has reported governmental crimes committed by agents and assigns of the Jefferson County Government in color of their authority and has filed Federal Civil Rights suits against Jefferson County Government Officials*, threaten to commence legal action, against Affiant, and to unlawfully maintain control of **15 boxes of Affiant’s legal papers** and property in an attempt to induce Affiant to refrain and desist from exercising his Right to Petition for Breach of Contract. *Intimidating a witness or victim is a class 4 felony.*

**18-8-706: Retaliation against a witness or victim:** **Roscoe G. Anstine II, Esquire** did unlawfully retaliate by use of an act of harassment by unlawfully invoking the Jefferson County District Attorney David J. Thomas, Esquire and Deputy D.A. **Dennis Hall, Esquire** and First Judicial District Judge **Roy Olson, Esquire**, who have no substantially related interest to Roscoe G. Anstine II, Esquire and/or his client Arabella T. Bonilla; to file a frivolous and fraudulent case, to-wit: #00CR2419, against Steve D. Gartin, *a victim and witness of Federal Crimes, to-wit: Federal Case #01-ES-1145, Verified Complaint to F.B.I., Verified Complaint to U.S. Attorney General, and Federal Civil Rights Cases #97-D-1036, 97-S-1523 & 97-N-1501*, as retaliation and retribution against said witness and victim for Exercising his Right to Petition or Sue for Breach of Contract and to gain an unfair advantage by constructively imprisoning Affiant while conspiring to file frivolous and unfounded charges against him. *Retaliation against a witness or victim is a class 3 felony.*

**Affiant believes**, and therefore alleges, that Roscoe G. Anstine II, *Esquire* conspired and colluded with the **Colorado State 1<sup>st</sup> Judicial District Attorney, David J. Thomas, Esquire** and with Kenneth Salazar, Esquire, the COLORADO STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL, COLORADO STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE Investigator Gary Clyman and F.B.I. Special Agent Donald L. Estep to present a FRAUD upon the Court in the form of a material and foundational document, to-wit: **Affidavit of Arabella T. Bonilla**, upon which two prosecutions against Affiant have been based and thus **perjuring their respective Oaths of Office**, in a concerted effort to vindictively and maliciously conduct a retaliatory prosecution upon the Affiant, to-wit: Case #00CR3371 in order to obtain an unfair advantage in Federal Civil Rights Actions #97N1501, #97D1036,

#95B1747 & #01ES1145 by imposing excessive bond, to-wit: \$5000.00 in case #00CR2419 and \$100,000.00 in case #00CR3371 and by causing unlawful imprisonment.

Arabella T. Bonilla is, and has always been, fully and completely aware of the agreements made and the commitments made by her agents, Carlos Ivan Bonilla-Tafoya and Hector Bonilla-Tafoya; a full party to every agreement and all made with her full understanding and complete knowledge. Any assertion to the contrary is a lie and an attempt to mislead the Court in furtherance of a fraud and theft of services by the Bonilla Crime Family, a Racketeering Influenced Corrupt Organization.

Arabella T. Bonilla has been presented with all information concerning this dispute both in hand and through her legal representative, **Glen Roscoe Anstine II**, *Esquire* her co-conspirator and agent in the Bonilla Crime Family, a complicit and knowing actor in this fraud, not to manage the criminal enterprise, but to facilitate and enable those that do.

Affiant has received death threats from the agents of the Bonilla Crime Family and believes that they have, in fact and practice, sent agents to kill him. Affiant believes, and on the basis of that belief alleges, that any personal appearance before the Honorable Court would constitute a perfect opportunity for the execution of said death threat and reserves his participation by other than Special Appearance **for that reason and no other**.

**Affiant believes**, and therefore alleges, that Roscoe G. Anstine II, *Esquire*, in order to collect payment from Arabella T. Bonilla has additionally knowingly and intentionally conspired and colluded with the **Colorado State** Attorney General, **Kenneth Salazar**, *Esquire* who knew, or should have known, that NO LIENS have existed on any "Bonilla Properties" since 13 July, 2000, and that no legitimate grounds existed for the prosecution of Case #00CR2419 and Case #00CR3371 and that the unauthorized prosecution therefore constitutes State and Federal Crimes, in conspiracy, (CONSPIRACY - **18 U.S.C. §371**: makes it a separate Federal crime or offense for anyone to conspire or agree with someone else to do something which, if actually carried out, would amount to another Federal crime or offense, to-wit 18 U.S.C. §§ 1621, 1622, 1001, 872, 241 & 242. So, under this law, a "conspiracy" is an agreement or a kind of "partnership" in criminal purposes in which each member becomes the agent or partner of every other member); and prima facie intentional and malevolent violation of Ethical Rule 8.4 (a) & (b).

Monday, October 29, 2001

---

**Steve Douglas, Gartin** – In Propria Persona – Sui Juris  
"expressly without the United States" c/o P.O.Box 16700  
Golden, Colorado [80402]

*Pursuant to 28 USC 1746 (1) and executed  
"without the United States," I affirm under penalty  
of perjury under the laws of the United States of  
America that the foregoing is true and correct, to  
the best of my belief and informed knowledge.*

**NOTARY PUBLIC SEAL**